

# Empirical Exercise 10: The dynamics of comparative advantage

November 2, 2020

Instructor: Marc-Andreas Muendler [muendler@ucsd.edu](mailto:muendler@ucsd.edu)  
 Teaching Assistant: Jacob Orchard [jdorchard@ucsd.edu](mailto:jdorchard@ucsd.edu)

**Due date and time: November 19, 5pm**

## Inputs and products

Please use Stata (any version) for your work. You may call any other software from within Stata (including Python, R, Perl, and system-level commands). Please base your analysis on the following file

ITPD-E by USITC                      `itpd.dta`

in the online data folder at <https://econweb.ucsd.edu/muendler/teach/20f/435/gen>.

You may find the ready data preparation code a useful reference: `ee10-dataprep.do` in the online lecture folder <https://econweb.ucsd.edu/muendler/teach/20f/435/gen>. If you wish to proceed with data preparation on your own, you may find the code from lectures 4 and 11 useful references: `lec11.do` in the online lecture folder <https://econweb.ucsd.edu/muendler/teach/20f/435/lec11>, showing the sample reduction to 68 countries and a rest-of-the-world economy, and `lec04.do` in the online lecture folder <https://econweb.ucsd.edu/muendler/teach/20f/435/lec04>, showing the construction of numeric source and destination IDs.

Please submit three products to [canvas.ucsd.edu](https://canvas.ucsd.edu) by the due time: (i) a file with results titled `ee10.pdf`, (ii) a log file titled `ee10.log`, and (iii) a Stata code file titled `ee10.do` (which may call other software). **Your log file must exhaustively document the steps from the above input files to the output of results.**

## Tasks

### 1. Preliminaries.

- (a) Construct one single data file for trade in goods and services at the *industry* level. Use the ITPD-E data by USITC, do not remove self trade, keep all years 2000 through 2015, and all industries as well as the variable for broad sectors. Identify the 68 top-exporting source countries over all years and reduce the ITPD data to the 68 top-exporting source countries plus a newly defined country ROW (rest of the world); for sample code see lecture 11. Create numeric ID variables for source and destination countries to succinctly estimate fixed effects; for sample code see lecture 4.

*Hint:* You may use ready data preparation code from `ee10-dataprep.do` in the online lecture folder <https://econweb.ucsd.edu/muendler/teach/20f/435/gen> to complete this step.

- (b) Compute for each source country  $s$ 's industry  $i$  at time  $t$  its revealed comparative advantage

$$RCA_{sit} \equiv \frac{EX_{sit}/EX_{s \cdot t}}{EX_{\cdot it}/EX_{\cdot \cdot t}}$$

according to Balassa (1965), where  $EX_{sit}$  are exports. For all feasible years (2010 through 2015), compute the decadal change in log RCA ( $\ln RCA_{si,t+10} - \ln RCA_{si,t}$ ).

*Hint:* You may re-use your earlier code for the construction of log RCA and its decadal change in Empirical Exercise 2.

## 2. Gravity-based absolute advantage measures.

- (a) To construct a measure of absolute advantage (similar to the numerator in the Balassa measure), but free of geographic confounders, linearly estimate log gravity and then extract the source-industry-year effects.
- Concretely, estimate a standard log gravity specification with OLS, including observations with self trade, using only fixed effects and their combinations to remove possibly many geographic determinants of trade: condition on source-industry-year, destination-industry-year, source-destination-industry and source-destination-year fixed effects.  
*Hint:* You may find the command `reghdfe` useful—with no bilateral variables but the option `absorb(..., savefe)` for all source-industry-year, destination-industry-year, source-destination-industry and source-destination-year fixed effects. The regression may require long runtime.
  - Immediately after completion of the regression, generate an indicator variable `_insmpl` that records whether an observation is in the log gravity regression sample and save the output (in a file such as *itpd-indvl-2000-2015-sample.dta*). (You do not want to repeat the regression and instead work on the remaining data preparations.)
  - Extract absolute advantage as the exponentiated source-industry-year fixed effect from the log gravity regression, and make the United States in the year 2015 and an industry of your choice the reference category for the destination-industry-year effects.  
*Hint:* You may find the following code useful:

```
qui summ ind if _insmpl
local minind = `r(min)´
tab ind if ind==`minind´ // reference industry
qui summ __hdfel__ if dest_iso3=="USA" & year==2015 & ind==`minind´
local dst_fe_usal5minind = `r(mean)´
gen double exp_srcfe = exp(__hdfel__ + `dst_fe_usal5minind´ ///
                        + _b[_cons])
```
  - Compute gravity-based log absolute advantage  $\ln A_{sit}$  as the log of the exponentiated source-industry-year fixed effect, and compute the decadal change in gravity-based log absolute advantage ( $\ln A_{si,t+10} - \ln A_{si,t}$ ).

## 3. Regressions.

- (a) Similar to Empirical Exercise 2, but for more years, run an ordinary least squares regression to project the decadal change in  $\ln A_{si,t+10} - \ln A_{si,t}$  on the level of  $\ln A_{si,t}$  for gravity-based absolute advantage, and again for the related Balassa comparative-advantage measures ( $\ln RCA_{si,t+10} - \ln RCA_{si,t}$  projected on  $\ln RCA_{si,t}$ ). Restrict the broad sector to manufacturing only. Condition on source-year and industry-year fixed effects in each regression.
- (b) Run one more pair of comparable regressions: Restrict the broad sector to services only.

## 4. Interpretation.

- In two sentences, compare your finding between measures of advantage and between the manufacturing and services sectors.  
*Hint:* Conditioning on source-year and industry-year fixed effects in the regressions under (3) allows you to interpret the coefficient estimates as related to *comparative advantage*.

## References

Balassa, Bela. 1965. "Trade Liberalization and Revealed Comparative Advantage." *Manchester School of Economic and Social Studies*, 33: 99–123.